

2-28-15

- My FU threat is Kilpatrick will
maximize threats

are these threats "weak"?

See my bank-robberies

(Pascale's Mugging - a "small" chance of
a big positive outcome)*

But cf. ... a big negative outcome
(expected)

* prob. = 0, for a mugger

prob gets less, for begin prisoner
but threat (with possible capability
(NK over!))

Oct 1-2, McH to JCS on wireman:

JCS strong (? SAC? NSC?)

just after intell of possible wireman
Reason to hope/effort we'll find the
before it's operational

Wp deadline as late as Oct 20?

(before election)

EGC

2-28-85

Was it "sure to have done" ? because #2 ?

Bushill

Linnitgen ?

me! hsr

Dillon (?)

Yes

But — in trial to avoid STOP? Not sure

— subsonic!

— JCS defend not!

(At least, they wanted back-down
with no guarantee to Cuba!

(Well, C didn't really
get that!)

or ^{open} trade

"

same trade?

(and they came about

(first round)

But if partial mutual agreement
to attack Cuba — why with no
apparent commitment not to attack is
given (it must also lead to
the current, Cuba-incl. subsonic
provocation level: Northbrook)

on full

machines

and expense!

(no of bat. right)

IL-85:

NC I've ignored that "mission gap" was
on Dem troops, no - the
(not just - am wrong? - vs. SU
+ MIC (favor —))

JFK, LBJ, Symington (Group)
(on Blanton Right)

Question ignored by analysts:

- Should JFK have regretted

(Now, Dem/cons give (under) credit
to JFK for great trouble (as if had
too little...))

Historians: "A few actors did nothing
wrong, nothing to be blamed for, regret:
nothing evil, criminal, reckless, immoral"

(JFK; LBJ; domestic; assass? NATO
K: (Remember?) VN over domestic
Mil. command VN policy
Went; Petros; Adams;

JFK at least: regretting VCS advice
(+ Johnson, cons, Ex Comm; want to follow (?)
Kubrick (OUT-); as in C-5, VN '61, Barkin know
PTB

no case (not in NG²/ mention '87 elections)
which left JER in W 1961-63

(ans case?)

minerals Get '61?

Cuba? 1961-62

C.I.I. 1962

(Bain?!)

Rohko suggested he was forward
on Nov '62:

(But he was reluctant to visit Cuba -
W.F.? Despite Memorandum? (No press enquiry?)
despite Cong/Rohko present? "Beng"
and SC and business?

2-28-15

Hyp

Balk deal decided by Thursday, Oct.
(see Date on RFR? Unknown)

in JFR - not unthinkable

LIKE: "VN like Russia"

e.g. K

not to go to war

(SU not $\frac{1}{3}$ to $\frac{1}{2}$: down to 0, ble

JFR

just last kept it going: Fri - Sat

for U-2 over SU
too: Vandalism? False alarm?
Subs: USA chase (McN
SAM firing offensive?
vs. Order)

Balk using (more than if known)

"that the leaves starting to chase"

because it looks - and is - weak

Also, "possibly" > 0 is not a bluff,
even though with would choose a
cutting (0 prob. of wound :

(?)

unless a major prevention -

which has a very low not controlled by
either 1 high possibility (of loss of control) is down -

2-28-15

points for consider.

" for down side. (opposite to down
analysis - not history -
not all's
of business, drafts modern
(or despite, priority, determination not
to do it.

Are any cities? Well, not first
(can if "losing" - \$)

but what if subordinate does it, on
one side or other?

North has taken full/wrong
effort to prevent that

- points for experience
business

Allis?
Damon, Fuchs

- points for down end (or with history
don't need to show

John Knepper

"micro-central"

(McN/Anderson)

look of trust is the
(see

PALs

my mind

my work - COB

- Mail - Survey (from Pres?)

- Mail disturbance of Pres + env stuff
Opposition (like Congress)

2-28-15

C-II

not

Mockers

Discomforter

~~Mockers~~

- saying too
was, not
intending to tell

- Deliberate
- Not telling!
too was; wanted;
deliberate; troops!

- Castro not
telling!

- K planning to use too was, not to advice telling

- Castro advice

use of too was;

- use guerrilla war

- + advice deal! (as MRBM's)

- K advice MRBM's before PSALM / blinded
SAMS advice; NOT USING SAMS!

- K advice workbooks! (TCS)

- K advice advice; with was!

- K / Castro advice advice

use of too was;

noted, advice

advice advice!

(advice)

(Wf use advice troops advice?)

advice to advice advice?

Mockers:

Mockers

Mockers

Mockers (advice of advice)

VNP?

is advice

Wf advice advice??

(advice advice)
- K advice advice
(if he had advice
advice, advice)
- K advice advice US

- failure to provide
advice

- Castro advice

- K advice?

advice?

- Failure of SU advice,

Castro, advice advice

- threat of
advice; advice
advice

- No U-20 advice Oct
1 (advice) to Oct 14!

- advice advice

advice advice,

Castro advice

to FS

(advice
of advice)

VFK?

advice?

Not advice

- not telling advice
of advice?
(advice?)

VFK (advice
advice)

(not like

VCS!

9 SU advice

(advice 15) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 advice 23 24 25 26 27 advice 28

advice

4 5 (in advice 28 d)

China (+VN)
JFK, like McN in VN
canceled

2-78-25

Levin's gotten us in (for dem. + humanitarian reasons
Rush's job!

actual will to keep a lid on VCS,

prevent the worst from happening

↳ Sabotage wills worse
than covered!

True War with SU

" War with China
or Big ~~War~~ war in China
Big war in VN

Kickie, K and DRV!

What if US public & Congress knew of
Mongol??

(SU ICBMs were out for Type II / RU / FS
deterrence of a US/NATO non-use invasion)

(Berlin: US ICBMs relevant!) & possible

SU blockade = US blockade of China

But SU couldn't really afford to

oppose that clearly illegal act of war!

US RU/FS thinks too careless, though not stupid.

(I didn't know in 1964:

2-28-15

Mongolia

American Plans (although - 1961!)

so I didn't know:

Cuba⁶² was SU's Berlin⁶¹

China were isotropic (EAT!)

reversed!

1962 = 1961

K turns tables:

We "couldn't" lose Berlin

for some reasons K couldn't lose Cuba:

We could only "defend" / data in some way!

(K "controlled" was in Cuba

as we did in Berlin + Turkey

British missiles were for some (US)

for plans as SU MBMs in Cuba

+ the vulnerability was on great

(vs. AWW/mel)

strat.

alliance

missile with "dts" as well as his "only feasible FS
that is true" (in 1958!

Cuba/Berlin

not enough ICBMs

in US '58-59.

in SU '62

(see K think in 1961, C-I!)

^{first times}
(not reached in '62 (or '61) when the went in! ^{SU} MBMs, yes!

2-28-15

X Both K and JFK were ^{totally} bluffing (no intention)
(like Huth in Rhineland)

Remember

~~K~~ in Cuba

and Berlin

(Did allis know
this? Want it?
From it?)

If wants more in that sense —

though VCS (+ NATO?) were!

But both were taking steps to improve
the threats

being made ^{private} public to convince if necessary

- JFK: think of an attack/invasion

- blocked by blockade (risking)

- readiness for invasion

- SAC about (+ U-2 "error")

But this, JFK was attempting to attack

(see Rusk, Alford (McN to me?))

Scali - no differs
K-8 at end
BLUFF

Fried

JFK ready to accept K but
both, own attack

my reaction - like
O'Donnell, R=F.K.

both
to Threat
(K's own look)

K threat: to stop, unless public threat

- crack went on minds

- apparently: AA, SAM!

but ready to accept JFK but both
own stamps / US att (= K & my both)

But: last
to, for each:

but while AA and;
last deal!

2-28-15

Secrets well-kept

○ - still not

"observed":

data not

connected;

significant
unexplained

○

x - RFLP
not "known"

□ - not known
all ExComm

□ Memo (not in tapes, Warren Commission! ^{ExComm}
RFK; Schles, Gov; ~~Mass~~ EGZ/mc/

□ Assass plans

□ Northwood

□ American (PSALM) (McN line) "

□ - PSALM (Rufous? Dir C/A/VCS misinterpret?)

JFK tape

my '64 study!
(with SE, T, K, E)

○ JFK new track as "fair", Oct. 27
(like Strom, Oct 20; McN, 18?)

○ x □ RFK deal ult. (after 6's memo)

○ □ JFK / Rust / U Stent

⊙ x □ JFK / Mini Alford (+ see Oct 15!)

○ x □ (RFK / Daher on public track?

Ready? Clearly? diffusion?

Dir & assure supra - JFK

○ x McN on track

Oct 18?

Ready

□ (SU) tax news K bluff

□ wounded

□ delegation

□ (Berlin plans)

○ □ K lack of control of SAM, AA

2-23-15

If didn't mean to use TCS "thrust the barrel"
but rock shel,
inadvertently, without knowing

Paralyzed, and
regional: not forced
up? (Bentley?)

Crisis Taker Blame

Good over-estimated his own control
of the others!

(see Days of Johnson)

JFK and Barbara Johnson (join degree
to Europe)
on Oct 22

He died on Oct 23 ("Prayer")

But K toward my sober fairly apert

see JFK in Park
minutes, in to

and so did JFK if he really told
Dale (or B) of Trall, dris and
(the wound of "loss of control,"
Cork, according to Dalmon)

Check:

McN Oct 18
trunk

+ JFK/alt
on Oct Oct 27

if he did this — was he, ^(not) taking it
back last night?

Because of Rudy, B & Com?

Q in book:

Sat.
2-28-15

Estelle Hemmings Scholars, MSM

1. If a Pres says, "off the table —
withholding"

—"He didn't consider doing it."

"No one advised..."

of C-2 trade

"Eat it"?

BLUFF:
(ULT)

Pres: "on table"

WFO, organs, "OFF
etc."

2. If a Pres says, "That was unavoidable
("9-11": Rise)

No one foresaw possibility

Knives in Cuba

3.

(Unavoidable to ODS!
But = Pres/bsr PSALM)

Sometimes it was "unavoidable" — despite warnings

K too late / delegation

Stalin — Barbarossa, in 1941

Castro's independence

or really

"off table"

Truth is to be criticized

Continuing reality is well-known event

[My Strong '69; EGZ 65-68] marginal Ex Com PSALM

2-28-15

Antiquity: "objectified" after → worldly risk of

(not "most favorable

content —

to be profound criticism"

"most favorable

(apart from (hard) consequences

production"

X Institutional; Political; alliances; consistency; bridge; needs profound

NC

- "We're Good" → Dual standard

Convoluted to CW opinion

CW = WWII

Stalin/K = Hitler

i) Anglo gas

ii) Hydro bomb (American
super:

"killing" or

threatening America

(yes - "thank to
peace")!

iii) Not impossible

("offensive")

they're unstable

iv) ^{no} Compromise w. evil
factor

- We're the Strong

main doctrine
(but - have didn't kill us
not on any scale

- Fairness didn't apply w/ + SU

of Guns:

Shameless?

they're not equals

(in power, or morality)

C/A didn't expect it — "they've never done it."

But we know!

Also, recently — East Germany!

"We would have known" "

2) They've never had intelligence like this!
Cuba!

(Though: Berlin could have evolved

like this — if SU would first

immit US non-interference

K would so fast he couldn't consult Cuba —

He treated Castro even worse

than we ~~threatened~~ Turks, if we would

"Sukhomirskiy"

(C. didn't want IRBMs —

he had too many!

(if he hadn't been so

naïve: Why?)

My FU threats

TRX

(Doesn't one want STKS to
succeed?!

Did C-F intentionally (not, "acc") S-S split?
(over S/DP?)

From some standpoint [C-F?! de Kaulle
Steghelt - with
of VE
Palanis - possibly]

3-10-15

9:30 PM

Oct. 22 speech is no exception
~~was~~ from - and - warning as
GWB and Rice (muslim leader) can
bury and kill (can if St had WMDs).

Be best, pretty much the same!

(Issue of Berlin = not its safety & welfare,
really after the Wall =

but negotiation of GDR

(was the idea done? How was it negotiated?
in UN? states? PRG?

Beck: a real danger = uprising
in GDR

Will Putin occupy any part of Ukraine
in NATO?

or fight to prevent it?

occupy NATO troops in West US?

and + material? What if

used against East US?

Domestic of East of what?

What will be fought to prevent?

What will Russia? Hitler?

Campaign to 2016??

K was prepping up a register -
Wagner's Parts, GDR as Register -
that did not deserve to be restored -
financial, irregular, inconsistent,
low standard of living (with some
social welfare units - how much)
- in USSR, too!

as 'Hankins' said
(though transmission was misinterpreted
consequently
lots about Register desiderata only!
USSR - Russia only!

get - glamor
WOT

withheld from repair

(Ranger - How at Rye Point - then of articles, now...
(no more will be restored than Arab Ship,
Oscar Wall St., former dividend,
New France museum...)

(South Africa? Sandinistas
LA, ungu. SDS (CORE?)

"We can't go on like this"
G to Revision sent for USA...

Keep up Hallway - Brentford

Reuben

3-12-15

[size of literature on C-II

vs. WWI?

WWII?

Holocaust?

alt. views?

Dave intell still understood VFR intentions,
motives

on ~~the~~ K's on 10-27 night
and 28 morning?

Still not discussing why that K was not
a "high-risk target"

(He took some risk, more than
others would, but not united: somewhat risk-tolerant
and had more to gain

and more to lose if he didn't!

then more than some, in

even OOI! (Mogsoni?

Who knew?

NOT Hartshill

SAC was wrong about what K didn't
do! Perpetrated this frame on others!

despite arguments!

Risk-tolerance

8. tolerance of ambiguity
description of it
as a psych. variable

("I don't know
(what I think about)
what makes")

K/T — K was facing a sure loss —
Cuba — in 5-6!

Business are to take a high risk
(small risk of

Intell/WH might well have concluded within K
might not drink his shot force was insufficient
and would quit fast → MRBM's!

(Kulper / Allen, etc. : + back down on Berlin

UKR ("mad") prob spread...

fallout craze

My theory of ambiguity: Missile not ambiguous

Any vs. USAF

"Obvious policy lines"

is extreme is prevalent

and discontent of others — though ext. may be right (on one side: and this
curve is biased, too!)

McKone on Cuba!

It should have worked — sorry —
if he had done the easy, "predictable, reasonable"
thing — that ACT McCarver expected!

Just no one counts on his luck, or
its bearing on risks, or its effect on outcome!

335 Ronan (K was not wrong about effects of a F.A.
(no. F.M.H.!))

Hyp: K did not expect war, or any war —
he "knew" (like VFR) he would not go there
(like VFR — "no 300K troops in VN.")

(But see Dallas Aviation!)

("at most, I can lose 90%")

(was not quite that? because you "have some
chance of cutting loss to 0 or $< 90\%$ vs. some loss
(and always after ^{some time} of ~~the~~ making

loss by $\&$ by bidding 10% higher

Type II out as a stable shot — big prob of avoiding
small, sure, s-t losses — at risk of
choosing, ~~to~~ not give "badly" but total
annihilate! (no bail-out of saving)

disturbance?

1 | Did K have a US TS? ~~later~~ (if he didn't
occupy Berlin)?
or — was much worse Berlin?